APPENDIX 2

SOCIAL ISOLATION AND LONELINESS PREVENTION SERVICE CONTRACT EVALUATION GRID

Selection Questionnaire stage

The Selection Questionnaire required previous experience commensurate to the requirements of the Tender. Bidders were required to 'pass' this to progress to Award stage. Bidders who failed the Selection Questionnaire were excluded.

	SQ Score:	Status:		
Contractor 1	Pass	Progressed to award		
		stage		
Contractor 2	Pass	Progressed to award		
		stage		
Contractor 3	Pass	Progressed to award		
		stage		
Contractor 4	Fail	Not shortlisted		
Contractor 5	Fail	Not shortlisted		
Contractor 6	Pass	Progressed to award		
		stage		

Award stage

Note: As per the Invitation To Tender, a response that is awarded a score of: (a) 0 for one or more Quality/Technical or Social Value question(s); or (b) 2 or less for two or more Quality/Technical or Social Value questions will be deemed to be a fail overall and be excluded from the process.

Quality Questions

Question	Weighting	Cont	ractor 1		ractor 2		ractor 3		ractor 6
1.1 Service Delivery	10%	4	8%	4	8%	3	6%	2	4%
1.2 Staffing	10%	4	8%	5	10%	3	6%	2	4%
1.3 Mobilisation	10%	4	8%	3	6%	2	4%	2	4%
Section Score		24%		24%		16%		12%	
2.1 Quality assurance	5%	4	4%	5	5%	3	3%	2	2%
2.2 Safeguarding	10%	5	10%	3	6%	3	6%	3	6%
2.3 Systems and Processes	2.5%	4	2%	5	2.5%	3	1.5%	3	1.5%
Section Score		16%		13.5		10.5%		9.5%	
3.1 Partnership working	10%	3	1.5%	3	1.5%	3	1.5%	2	1%
Section Score		1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		1%	
Quality Score		41.5%		39%		28%		22.5%	

Contract Procurement and Management GuidelinesPrecedent 30

Contractor 6 was deemed to be a fail overall and was excluded from the process at this stage as they scored 2 or less for two or more Quality/Technical questions. The Social Value submission from Contractor 6 was not evaluated.

Social Value

Question	Weighting	Contra	actor 1	1 Contractor 2		Contractor 3	
Thriving Communities	3%	3	1.8%	5	3%	4	2.4%
Prosperity and Stability	4%	3	2.4%	5	4%	3	2.4%
A Cleaner, Greener future	3%	5	3%	4	2.4%	2	2%
Social Value Score		7.2%		9.4	1%	6%	

Contractor 3 was deemed to be a fail overall and was excluded from the process at this stage as they scored 2 or less for two or more Quality/Technical or Social Value questions. The Pricing submission from Contractor 3 was not scored.

Contractors 1 and 2 had their Pricing submission scored and their total overall tender scores are as follows:

Price & Total Score

Price	Weighting	Contractor 1	Contractor 2
Total Price Score	40%	39.29%	40%
Total Score	87.99%	88.4%	